



**Rubric for Selecting AAAL Sessions**

<b>Rating</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Criteria</b>				
<b>Quality of Session Title/Description</b>	Focus/outcome unclear	Focus/outcome somewhat clear. Lacks detail.	Focus/outcome clear	Focus/outcome very clear and well written
<b>Relevance to AAAL Members/Academic Library Trends [timeliness, practical implementation]</b>	Not relevant	Somewhat relevant	Relevant	Very relevant
<b>Individual's Contribution at Previous AAAL Meetings</b>	Presented at last meeting	Presented at meeting within last year	Has not presented within last year	Has never presented
<b>Institutional Representation at Previous AAAL Meetings</b>	Institution represented at last meeting	Institution represented at meeting within last year	Institution has not been represented within last year	Institution has never been represented
<b>Originality of Topic</b>	Topic presented frequently at PD events	Topic somewhat well covered at PD events	Topic unique from standard PD offerings	Topic completely original - never seen before session